

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office / Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/018,712	08/05/2002	Patrick Camilleri	P32329	1662	
20462	7590 10/13/2	EXAMINER			
	NE BEECHAM C	BURKHART,	BURKHART, MICHAEL D		
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220 P. O. BOX 1539			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
KING OF PR	RUSSIA, PA 19406	-0939	1633		

DATE MAILED: 10/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/018,712	CAMILLERI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	_
Michael D. Burkhart	1633	

		Michael D. Burkhart	1633	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE R	EPLY FILED 21 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🔯 🛚 t i	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or his application, applicant must timely file one of the followolaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, af otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) [ b) [	The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth	in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	ichever is later. In on.
	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
nave bounder 3 set fort may re-	ons of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date sen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as
f	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed DMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecaneo
	a) They raise new issues that would require further co			ecause
	b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 2 50.017,	
	<ul> <li>They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> </ul>	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(	d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
	NOTE: See continuation sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.12	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4.	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	<b>)</b> :	•	
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
ł	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed: 21-26 and 31.			
(	Claim(s) objected to: <u>33,34 and 37-39</u> .			
	Claim(s) rejected: 32,35 and 36.	·		
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	·		
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
t	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N Id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. 🔲 7	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to dehowing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
	EST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		my is bolow or allact	ICG.
	The request for reconsideration has been considered by See continuation sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Vo(s).	n
			DAVID GUZO PRIMARY EXAM	INER
			÷	-

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20051003

## **Continuation Sheet**

Claim 34 recites specific R<sub>1</sub> and R<sub>2</sub> groups, such as oleyl, C<sub>12</sub>-alkyl, C<sub>14</sub>-alkyl, etc., and that Y<sub>1</sub> and Y<sub>2</sub> are each glucitol, which are newly presented amendments. These amendments change the scope of the claim, thus the specification would have to be considered for written support for the claimed molecules, any prior art would have to be re-examined for anticipation of the claimed molecules, and a new prior art search would have to be conducted. Thus, these amendments raise new issues, requiring further consideration of the specification and the prior art. Because the amendments have not been entered, the request for reconsideration and allowance is moot.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael D. Burkhart whose telephone number is (571) 272-2915. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8AM-5PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-0731. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Michael D. Burkhart Examiner Art Unit 1633

Page 2